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PROPOSED ACTION ON REGULATIONS

Information contained in this document is published as received from agencies and is not edited by Thomson Reuters.

TITLE 2. FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fair Political Practices Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested in it by Sections 82011, 87303, and 87304 of the Government Code to review proposed conflict–of–interest codes, will review the proposed/amended conflict–of–interest codes of the following:

AMENDMENT

STATE AGENCY: Public Employees Retirement System
Department of Managed Health Care

A written comment period has been established commencing on May 29, 2015, and closing on July 13, 2015. Written comments should be directed to the Fair Political Practices Commission, Attention Ivy Branaman, 428 J Street, Suite 620, Sacramento, California 95814.

At the end of the 45–day comment period, the proposed conflict–of–interest code(s) will be submitted to the Commission’s Executive Director for her review, unless any interested person or his/her duly authorized representative requests, no later than 15 days prior to the close of the written comment period, a public hearing before the full Commission. If a public hearing is requested, the proposed code(s) will be submitted to the Commission for review.

The Executive Director of the Commission will review the above–referenced conflict–of–interest code(s), proposed pursuant to Government Code Section 87300, which designate, pursuant to Government Code Section 87302, employees who must disclose certain investments, interests in real property and income.

Any interested person may present statements, arguments or comments, in writing to the Executive Director of the Commission, relative to review of the proposed conflict–of–interest code(s). Any written comments must be received no later than July 13, 2015. If a public hearing is to be held, oral comments may be presented to the Commission at the hearing.

COST TO LOCAL AGENCIES

There shall be no reimbursement for any new or increased costs to local government which may result from compliance with these codes because these are not new programs mandated on local agencies by the codes since the requirements described herein were mandated by the Political Reform Act of 1974. Therefore, they are not “costs mandated by the state” as defined in Government Code Section 17514.

EFFECT ON HOUSING COSTS AND BUSINESSES

Compliance with the codes has no potential effect on housing costs or on private persons, businesses or small businesses.

AUTHORITY

Government Code Sections 82011, 87303 and 87304 provide that the Fair Political Practices Commission as the code reviewing body for the above conflict–of–interest codes shall approve codes as submitted, revise the proposed code and approve it as revised, or return the proposed code for revision and re–submission.

REFERENCE

Government Code Sections 87300 and 87306 provide that agencies shall adopt and promulgate conflict–of–interest codes pursuant to the Political Reform Act and amend their codes when change is necessitated by changed circumstances.

CONTACT

Any inquiries concerning the proposed conflict–of–interest code(s) should be made to Ivy Branaman, Fair Political Practices Commission, 428 J Street, Suite 620, Sacramento, California 95814, telephone (916) 322–5660.

AVAILABILITY OF PROPOSED CONFLICT–OF–INTEREST CODES

Copies of the proposed conflict–of–interest codes may be obtained from the Commission offices or the respective agency. Requests for copies from the Commission should be made to Ivy Branaman, Fair Political Practices Commission, 428 J Street, Suite 620, Sacramento, California 95814, telephone (916) 322–5660.
TITLE 2. OFFICE OF THE STATE TREASURER

Notice of Intention to Amend Conflict–of–Interest Code

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that JOHN CHIANG, the Treasurer of the State of California, pursuant to the authority vested in him by Government Code sections 87300 through 87302, and 87306, proposes to amend the conflict–of–interest code to add the following council and board chaired by the State Treasurer:

- California Healthy Food Financing Initiative Council
- California Secure Choice Retirement Savings Investment Board

Pursuant to Government Code sections 87300 through 87302, and 87306, the conflict–of–interest code designates employees and others who must disclose certain investments, income, interests in real property, and business positions, and who must disqualify themselves from making or participating in the making of governmental decisions affecting those interests. The amendment is proposed to revise and update disclosure categories. The amendment includes:

- Changes to disclosure categories to add “council” among the multi–member bodies chaired by the State Treasurer.
- Addition of designated positions.

Copies of the proposed amended code are available and may be requested from the agency contact set forth below.

WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD

A written comment period has been established commencing on May 29, 2015 and terminating on July 13, 2015. Any interested person may submit written comments concerning the proposed conflict–of–interest code amendment no later than July 13, 2015 to:

State Treasurer’s Office
Attention: Deborah Yang, Senior Attorney
915 Capitol Mall, Room 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 653–2995
dyang@treasurer.ca.gov

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The State Treasurer must determine that no alternative considered by the State Treasurer would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

The State Treasurer has determined that the proposed amended code:
1. Imposes no mandate on local agencies or school districts.
2. Imposes no cost or savings on any State agency.
3. Imposes no cost on any local agency or school district that is required to be reimbursed under part 7 (commencing with section 17500) of division 4 of title 2 of the Government Code.
4. Will not result in any nondiscretionary cost or savings to local agencies.
5. Will not result in any cost or savings in federal funding to the State.
6. Will not have any potential cost impact on private persons or businesses, including small businesses.

TITLE 5. COMMISSION ON TEACHER CREDENTIALING

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO AMEND THE CONFLICT–OF–INTEREST CODE OF THE COMMISSION ON TEACHER CREDENTIALING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Commission on Teacher Credentialing, pursuant to the authority vested in it by section 87306 of the Government Code, proposes amendment to its Conflict–of–Interest Code.
The purpose of these amendments is to implement the requirements of sections 87300 through 87302, and section 87306 of the Government Code.

The Commission on Teacher Credentialing proposes to amend its Conflict–of–Interest Code to include employee positions that involve the making or participation in the making of decisions that may foreseeably have a material effect on any financial interest, as set forth in subdivision (a) of section 87302 of the Government Code.

This amendment includes addition of the Information Security Officer and Supervising Special Investigator I positions to the code and makes other technical changes to reflect the current organizational structure of the Department. Copies of the amended code are available and may be requested from the Contact Person set forth below.

Any interested person may submit written statements, arguments, or comments relating to the proposed amendments by submitting them in writing no later than July 13, 2015, or at the conclusion of the public hearing, if requested, whichever comes later, to the Contact Person set forth below.

At this time, no public hearing has been scheduled concerning the proposed amendments. If any interested person or the person’s representative requests a public hearing, he or she must do so no later than July 13, 2015, by contacting the Contact Person set forth below.

The Commission on Teacher Credentialing has prepared a written explanation of the reasons for the proposed amendments and has available the information on which the amendments are based. Copies of the proposed amendments, the written explanation of the reasons, and the information on which the amendments are based may be obtained by contacting the Contact Person set forth below.

The Commission on Teacher Credentialing has determined that the proposed amendments:

1. Impose no mandate on local agencies or school districts.
2. Impose no costs or savings on any state agency.
3. Impose no costs on any local agency or school district that are required to be reimbursed under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.
4. Will not result in any nondiscretionary costs or savings to local agencies.
5. Will not result in any costs or savings in federal funding to the state.
6. Will not have any potential cost impact on private persons, businesses or small businesses.

In making these proposed amendments, the Commission on Teacher Credentialing must determine that no alternative considered by the agency would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the amendments are proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected persons than the proposed amendments.

All inquiries concerning this proposed amendment and any communication required by this notice should be directed to:

Christopher M. Rose
1900 Capitol Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95811–4213
(916) 445–0474
crose@ctc.ca.gov

TITLE 11. COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Notice is hereby given that the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) proposes to amend regulations in Division 2 of Title 11 of the California Code of Regulations as described below in the Informative Digest. A public hearing is not scheduled. Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.8, any interested person, or their duly authorized representative, may request a public hearing. POST must receive the written request no later than 15 days prior to the close of the public comment period. If there is no request for public hearing, the effective date shall be upon approval by the Secretary of State.

Public Comments Due by July 13, 2015, at 5:00 p.m.

Notice is also given that any interested person, or authorized representative, may submit written comments relevant to the proposed regulatory action by fax at (916) 227–3895, or by letter to:

Commission on POST
Attention: Rulemaking
860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100
West Sacramento, CA 95605–1630

AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE

This proposal is made pursuant to the authority vested by Penal Code Section 13503 (authority of the Commission on POST) and Penal Code Section 13506 (POST authority to adopt regulations). This proposal is intended to interpret, implement, and make specific Penal Code Section 13503(e), which authorizes POST to develop and implement programs to increase the effectiveness of law enforcement, including programs involving training and education courses.
INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT
OVERVIEW

In 1989, at the October Commission Meeting, a Public Safety Dispatcher Certificate Program was introduced as researched by Darrell Stewart. Testimony on the proposal was received at the following Commission Meeting in January 1990. In April 1990, after testimony and discussion, the Commission unanimously adopted the program, effective July 1, 1990.

In September 2006, a proposal was made to establish intermediate and advanced professional certificates for dispatchers. This proposal was approved by Executive Director Kenneth O’Brien in October 2006, and included a continued professional training requirement of 24 hours every 24 months.

In 2010, it was determined that a Dispatcher Supervisor Course was warranted. This course was developed and implemented in 2011, through the South Bay Regional Public Safety Training Consortium. In 2013, Golden West College started hosting the class in Southern California.

In January 2013, POST contracted with Special Consultant Rosanna McKinney to develop and support the POST Public Safety Dispatcher Program, including the Dispatcher Supervisor Program. Ms. McKinney discussed the concept of a Dispatcher Supervisory Certificate with the Public Safety Dispatcher Advisory Committee (PSDAC). The PSDAC approved the concept of a Dispatcher Supervisory Certificate, to be included in the POST Professional Certificate Program, in March 2013.

In May 2013, staff presented a proposal to the Training Program Services Bureau Chief and to the POST Leadership Team, respectively, to gain consensus on establishing the Dispatcher Supervisory Certificate. The concept was well received and it was determined that the proposal should move forward for Commission approval. It was also decided that an update to the regulation was warranted.

The addition of a Dispatcher Supervisory Certificate to the existing Public Safety Dispatcher Certificate Program will require a change in Commission Regulation 1011(c) to provide authority. The procedure of submitting an application to POST for consideration will remain the same as with other POST professional certificates. Current application(s) will be revised to accommodate the addition of the new certificate and a new certificate template has been developed.

Regulation 1011 will be revised to include 1011(c)(6) Dispatcher Supervisory Certificate Award Requirements, and updated for corrections to non–significant errors and language.

The specific benefits anticipated by the proposed changes to the regulation will be to raise the level of professionalism and leadership of Public Safety Dispatch Supervisors through certification. This regulatory action would create a positive effect on public health and safety.

During the process of developing these regulations and amendments, the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has conducted a search of any similar regulations on this topic and has concluded that these regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

FORMS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The following forms are incorporated by reference:
Certificate Application, POST 2–116, Rev. 06/2014
Certificate Application — Public Safety Dispatcher, POST 2–289, Rev. 06/2014
Certificate Application — Records Supervisor, POST 2–117, Rev. 06/2014
Request for Reissuance of POST Certificate, POST 2–250, Rev. 06/2014

ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS

Following the public comment period, the Commission may adopt the proposal substantially as set forth without further notice, or the Commission may modify the proposal if such modifications remain sufficiently related to the text as described in the Informative Digest. If the Commission makes changes to the language before the date of adoption, the text of any modified language, clearly indicated, will be made available at least 15 days before adoption to all persons whose comments were received by POST during the public comment period and to all persons who request notification from POST of the availability of such changes. A request for the modified text should be addressed to the agency official designated in this notice. The Commission will accept written comments on the modified text for 15 days after the date that the revised text is made available.

ESTIMATE OF ECONOMIC IMPACT

Fiscal Impact on Public Agencies including Costs/Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.
Non–Discretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.
Local Mandate: None.
Costs to any Local Agency or School District for which Government Code Sections 17500–17630 require reimbursement: None.
Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting California Businesses, including Small
Business: The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has made an initial determination that the amended regulations will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting California businesses, including the ability to compete with businesses in other states. The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has found that the proposed amendments will not affect California businesses, including small businesses, because the Commission sets selection and training standards for law enforcement which does not impact California businesses, including small businesses.

Cost Impacts on Representative Private Persons or Businesses: The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

Effect on Housing Costs: The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has made an initial determination that the proposed regulation would have no effect on housing costs.

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT PER GOV. CODE SEC. 11346.3(b)

The adoption of the proposed amendments of regulations will neither create nor eliminate jobs in the State of California, nor result in the elimination of existing businesses or create or expand businesses in the State of California.

The benefits of the proposed amendments of regulations to the health and welfare of California residents would be to improve the level of service and professionalism. There would be no impact which would affect worker safety or the States’ environment.

During the process of developing these regulations and amendments, the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has conducted a search of any similar regulations on this topic and has concluded that these regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing State regulations.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

To take this action, the Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or otherwise identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, or would be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action or would be more cost–effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law than the proposed action.

CONTACT PERSONS

Questions concerning this proposed regulatory action may be directed to Robert Ziglar, Commission on POST, 860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100, West Sacramento, CA 95605–1630, at Robert.Ziglar@post.ca.gov. General questions regarding the regulatory process may be directed to Patti Kaida at Patti.Kaida@post.ca.gov, (916) 970–3909, or by FAX at (916) 970–4610 (temporary).

AVAILABILITY AND LOCATION OF THE RULEMAKING FILE AND THE FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

The rulemaking file contains all information upon which POST is basing this proposal and is available for public inspection by contacting Patti Kaida at Patti.Kaida@post.ca.gov, (916) 970–3909, or FAX at (916) 970–4610.

To request a copy of the Final Statement of Reasons once it has been approved, submit a written request to Patti Kaida, Commission on POST, 860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100, West Sacramento, CA 95605–1630.

TEXT OF PROPOSAL

Individuals may request copies of the exact language of the proposed regulations and of the initial statement of reasons, and the information the proposal is based upon from the Commission on POST at 860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100, West Sacramento, CA 95605–1630. These documents are also located on the POST Website at: http://www.post.ca.gov/regulatory–actions.aspx.

TITLE 12. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

STATE VETERANS CEMETERY

NATURE OF PROCEEDING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the California Department of Veterans Affairs (CalVet) proposes to adopt the proposed regulations described below after considering all comments, objections, and recommendations regarding the proposed action.

PUBLIC HEARING

CalVet will hold a public hearing starting at 10:00 a.m. on July 14, 2015, at the Medal of Honor Hall of the
CalVet Headquarters, located at 1227 O Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814. The Medal of Honor Hall is wheelchair accessible. At the hearing, any person may present statements or arguments orally or in writing relevant to the proposed action described in the Informative Digest. CalVet requests but does not require that persons who make oral comments at the hearing also submit a written copy of their testimony at the hearing.

WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any interested person, or his or her duly authorized representative, may submit written comments relevant to the proposed regulatory action to:

California Department of Veterans Affairs
Veterans Services Division
Attention: Angela Yamamoto
1227 O Street, Room 105
Sacramento, CA 95814

Comments may also be submitted by facsimile (916) 653–2563 or by e-mail to angela.yamamoto@calvet.ca.gov. The written comment period closes at 5:00 p.m. on July 13, 2015. CalVet will only consider comments received at CalVet offices by that time.

AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE

Sections 1402(c), 1414(c), and 1456(c) of the California Military and Veterans Code authorize CalVet to adopt the proposed regulations. The proposed regulations implement, interpret, and make specific Sections 1400, 1414, and 1456 of the California Military and Veterans Code.

INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

The specific objective of this regulation is to describe the process for proposal and approval of monuments and memorials in state veteran cemeteries. At this time there are no such guidelines for monuments and memorials in a State Veterans Cemetery.

Military and Veterans Code Sections 1402(c), 1456(c), and 1414(c) state that CalVet shall adopt regulations for the policies and procedures to be followed with respect to the design, placement, and approval of monuments and memorials to be placed on the cemetery grounds at the Northern California Veterans Cemetery in Igo, California Central Coast Veterans Cemetery in Monterey, and Southern California Veterans Cemetery in Orange County.

Currently, no regulations exist for the establishment of monuments and memorials or the operation of their related committees at state veteran cemeteries. Without regulations, confusion and frustration has ensued with donors and CalVet over the requirement for the approvals through a proper committee and capital assets review, who has the authority to officially approve the proposals, providing official response to donors, time frame for responses, and at what point the donor should start construction. This proposed text is to establish regulations to establish clear processes and procedures at every state veterans cemetery. These regulations will guide the public as well as CalVet for future monuments and memorial proposals at any of the state veteran cemeteries.

Anticipated Benefits of Proposed Regulations

By providing CalVet and monument and memorial donors with guidance for the design, placement, and approval of monuments and memorials to be placed on the cemetery grounds, the proposed regulation will provide for the efficient flow of information between CalVet and donors and create a transparent review and approval or denial process. The proposed regulation will ensure that both CalVet and donors will have a clear understanding of the process for placement of a monument or memorial within a state veterans cemetery from concept, design, approval, construction, final approval, and placement making the process more efficient and consistent. Additionally, the proposed regulation will clarify who has approval authority for monuments and memorials in a state veteran cemetery.

Determination of Inconsistency/Incompatibility with Existing State Regulations

CalVet has determined that this proposed regulation is not inconsistent or incompatible with existing regulations. After conducting a review for any regulations that would relate or affect this area, CalVet has concluded that these are the only regulations that concern the proposal and approval of monuments and memorials in state veteran cemeteries.

DISCLOSURES REGARDING THE PROPOSED ACTION

Mandate on local agencies and school districts: None.
Cost or savings to any state agency: None.
Cost to any local agency or school district which must be reimbursed in accordance with Government Code sections 17500 through 17630: None.
Other nondiscretionary cost or savings imposed on local agencies: None.
Cost or savings in federal funding to the state: None.
Cost impacts on a representative private person or business: Donors are required to raise funds for the funding of their proposed monument or memorial, in-
Concluding the required working drawings, permits, engineered plans, etc.

Significant, statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states: None.

Results of the Economic Impact Analysis/Assessment

CalVet concludes that it is (1) unlikely that the proposal will eliminate any jobs, (2) unlikely that the proposal will create new jobs as the current workload for CalVet staff is absorbed with existing resources, (3) unlikely that the proposal will create new businesses, (4) unlikely that the proposal will eliminate existing businesses, and (5) unlikely that the proposal will affect the expansion of businesses currently doing business.

Benefits of the Proposed Action: The proposed regulation will ensure transparency of the process for review and approval of proposed monuments and memorials placed at state veteran cemeteries. It is possible that the proposal will support local businesses involved with the construction of structures for the cemeteries, but unlikely that they would significantly expand their business based on the small number of structures that will be proposed.

Small Business Determination: CalVet has determined that the proposed regulations do not significantly affect small business due to the small number of structures that will be proposed and constructed.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

In accordance with Government Code section 11346.5, subdivision (a)(13), CalVet must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the agency would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

CalVet invites interested persons to present statements or arguments with respect to alternatives to the proposed regulations at the scheduled hearing or during the written comment period.

CONTACT PERSONS

Inquiries concerning the proposed administrative action and written comments may be directed to:

Angela Yamamoto, Chief of Operations
Veterans Services Division
California Department of Veterans Affairs
1227 O Street, Room 105
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 651–3068
E-mail: angela.yamamoto@calvet.ca.gov
Fax: (916) 653–2563

The backup contact person is: Mark George, Staff Services Analyst, 11800 Gas Point Road, Igo, CA 96047, (530) 396–2429. Inquiries may also be submitted by e-mail to mark.george@calvet.ca.gov.

AVAILABILITY OF STATEMENT OF REASONS, TEXT OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS, AND RULEMAKING FILE

CalVet will have the entire rulemaking file available for inspection and copying throughout the rulemaking process at its office at the above address. As of the date this notice is published in the Notice Register, the rulemaking file consists of this notice, the proposed text of the regulations, and the initial statement of reasons. Copies of the exact language (express terms) of the proposed regulations and of the initial statement of reasons, and all the information upon which the proposal is based, may be obtained upon request from the contact persons named above. These documents may also be viewed and downloaded from the CalVet website at www.calvet.ca.gov.

AVAILABILITY OF CHANGED OR MODIFIED TEXT

After holding the hearing and considering all timely and relevant comments received, CalVet may adopt the proposed regulations substantially as described in this notice. If CalVet makes modifications which are sufficiently related to the originally proposed text, it will make the modified text (with the changes clearly indicated) available to the public for at least 15 days before CalVet adopts the regulations as revised. Please send requests for copies of any modified regulations to the attention of Angela Yamamoto at the address indicated above. CalVet will accept written comments on the modified regulations for 15 days after the date on which they are made available.

AVAILABILITY AND LOCATION OF THE FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

Upon its completion, copies of the Final Statement of Reasons may be obtained by contacting Angela Yamamoto at the above address.
AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS ON THE INTERNET

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulations can be accessed through the CalVet website at: www.calvet.ca.gov.

TITLE 14. DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

AQUIFER EXEMPTION COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE REGULATIONS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the California Department of Conservation (Department) proposes to adopt the regulations described below after consideration of all comments, objections, and recommendations regarding the proposed action. With this rulemaking, the Department will propose permanent regulations, after the consideration of all comments, objections, and recommendations.

WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD AND PUBLIC COMMENT HEARINGS

Any person, or his or her authorized representative, may submit written statements, arguments, or comments relevant to the proposed regulatory action to the Department. Comments may be submitted by email to UIC.Regulations@conservation.ca.gov, by facsimile (FAX) to (916) 324–0948, or by mail to:

Department of Conservation
801 K Street, MS 24–02
Sacramento, CA 95814
ATTN: Aquifer Exemption Compliance Schedule Regulations

The written comment period closes at 5:00 p.m. on July 13, 2015. The Department will consider only comments received at the Department’s offices by that time. Any interested person, or their authorized representative, may present, either orally or in writing, comments regarding the proposed action at one of the public hearings, to be held at the following times and places:

- Bakersfield — July 15, 4:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m. Bakersfield Marriott at the Convention Center, 801 Truxtun Avenue.
- Santa Maria — July 16, 4:00 p.m.–7:00 p.m. Santa Barbara County Supervisors Hearing Room, 511 East Lakeside Pkwy.

Services, such as translation between English and other languages, may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of these services, please make your request no later than ten working days prior to the hearing by calling the staff person referenced in this notice.

SERVICOS, COMO TRADUCCIÓN DE INGLÉS A OTROS IDIOMAS, PUEDEN HACERSE DISPONIBLES SI USTED LOS PIDE EN AVANCE. PARA ASEGURAR LA DISPONIBILIDAD DE ÉSTOS SERVICIOS, POR FAVOR HAGA SU PETICIÓN AL MENOS DE DIEZ DÍAS LABORABLES ANTES DE LA REUNIÓN, LLAMANDO A LA PERSONA DEL PERSONAL MENCIONADA EN ESTE Aviso.

AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE

The Department is considering making changes to Subchapter 2 of Chapter 4 of Division 2 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations as follows: adoption of sections 1760.1 and 1779.1.

Public Resources Code section 3013 authorizes the Department to adopt the proposed regulations. The proposed regulations will implement, interpret, make specific, or reference sections 3106, 3220, 3222 and 3236.5 of the Public Resources Code, and 40 Code of Federal Regulations parts 144.3 and 144.7 (2015).

INFORMATIVE DIGEST/POLICY STATEMENT

EXISTING LAW

California Regulation of Underground Injection Wells Associated With Oil and Gas Production

The Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (Division), within the Department of Conservation, supervises the drilling, operation, maintenance, and plugging and abandonment of onshore and offshore oil, gas, and geothermal wells. The Division carries out its regulatory authority to encourage the wise development of oil and gas resources, while preventing damage to life, health, property, and natural resources, including underground and surface waters suitable for domestic or irrigation purposes. (See Pub. Resources Code, § 3106.) Among the wells the Division regulates are injection wells that inject fluids or gas for the purpose of enhancing oil or gas recovery or repressuring oil or gas reservoirs, and injection wells that dispose wastewater and other byproducts associated with oil and gas production. Written approval from the Division is required before any subsurface injection associated with oil or gas production can begin. (Cal. Code Regs., title 14, §§ 1714, 1724.6.) The Division’s regulations at Title 14, Division 2, Chapter 4 of the California Code of Regulations contain specific requirements that an applicant must satisfy before the Division will approve a subsurface injection project.

Public Resources Code section 3236.5 provides that any person who violates the State oil and gas laws is subject to a civil penalty of up to $25,000 for each viola-
tion. When establishing the amount of the penalty, the Division considers, in addition to other relevant circumstances, (1) the extent of harm caused by the violation; (2) the persistence of the violation; (3) the pervasiveness of the violation; and (4) the number of prior violations by the same violator.

California Primacy to Enforce an Underground Injection Control Program Pursuant to the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act

Enacted in 1974, the federal Safe Drinking Water Act directed the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) to develop federal standards for the protection of the nation’s public drinking water supply. Section 1425 of the Safe Drinking Water Act provides a mechanism by which states can obtain primary enforcement responsibility (often referred to as “primacy”) for regulating the underground injection of fluids associated with oil and gas production through their own state underground injection control (UIC) programs. To obtain primacy under section 1425 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, a state must demonstrate to US EPA’s satisfaction that its UIC program meets certain minimum requirements set forth in the Safe Drinking Water Act and represents an effective program to prevent injection which endangers underground sources of drinking water. (See 42 U.S.C., § 300h-4(a).)

Once US EPA approves a state UIC program, the state has primary responsibility for regulating underground injection within its jurisdiction. In such cases, the state and US EPA enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (Primacy Agreement), which may include other terms, conditions, or agreements relevant to the administration and enforcement of the state’s regulatory program. (See 40 C.F.R. § 145.25(a).) In primacy states, US EPA retains oversight and secondary enforcement authority, as well as the authority to revise or withdraw state primacy. (See 42 U.S.C. § 300h-2(a) [US EPA may enforce the Safe Drinking Water Act when the state fails to take appropriate action]; see also 40 C.F.R. § 145.33 [identifying grounds for US EPA to withdraw primacy approval of a state program].) One of the grounds for US EPA to withdraw primacy approval of a state program is when the state program fails to comply with the terms of the Primacy Agreement and the state fails to take corrective action satisfactory to US EPA. (40 C.F.R. § 145.33.)

In 1981, California applied, through the Division, pursuant to section 1425 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, for primacy to implement a Class II UIC program. (See Application for Primacy in the Regulation of Class II Injection Wells under section 1425 of the Safe Drinking Water Act1). “Class II” is the classification US EPA’s regulations give to wells that inject fluid associated with oil and gas production. US EPA granted primacy to the Division through a Memorandum of Agreement between US EPA and the Division, dated September 29, 1982. The Primacy Agreement defines the terms of the Division’s UIC program, as understood and approved by US EPA.

Underground Sources of Drinking Water and Aquifer Exemptions

Among the Safe Drinking Water Act’s minimum requirements for the Division’s UIC program is a requirement that the Division protect underground sources of drinking water. Underground sources of drinking water (or “USDW”) are defined in federal regulation as including any aquifer that contains a sufficient quantity of groundwater to supply a public water system and that has a total dissolved solids (TDS) content of less than 10,000 milligrams per liter (mg/L). (See 40 C.F.R. § 144.3.) The Division’s Primacy Agreement with US EPA provides that the Division will not authorize injection into USDW.

An aquifer or its portion that would otherwise qualify as an USDW may be exempted from protection, however, if it meets specific exemption criteria enumerated in federal regulations and undergoes an exemption process that involves both the Division and US EPA. (See 40 C.F.R., §§ 146.4, 144.7.) In states that implement their own UIC programs, such as California, exempted aquifers may be designated by the state and submitted to US EPA for review. No aquifer exemption is valid unless and until it is approved by US EPA. (See 40 C.F.R. § 144.7.)

In some cases, industry participants who need an aquifer exemption in order to conduct injection activities may provide the Division information showing the aquifer meets the exemption criteria. If the Division finds the aquifer meets the exemption criteria, the Division will then give public notice and provide opportunity for public comment before submitting the designation to US EPA for review and possible approval.

Background

The objective of these proposed regulations is to implement corrective action necessary to bring California’s Class II UIC program into compliance with the federal Safe Drinking Water Act. The proposed action is intended to prevent risk of harm to groundwater suitable for municipal or irrigation purposes, and is also thereby implementing the Division’s statutory mandate

1 Available at http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dog/general_information/Documents/Application%20for%20Primacy.pdf.
to prevent, as far as possible, damage to life, health, property and natural resources. Using rulemaking action to achieve these goals will promote transparency and consistency, which is necessary to address public concern and to prevent unnecessary disruption of operations.

US EPA Audit and Identification of Deficiencies in California’s Class II UIC Program

Beginning in or about April 2010, the US EPA audited the State’s Class II UIC program and subsequently concluded that the Division’s implementation of the State program was falling short of the Safe Drinking Water Act’s minimum requirements. In the course of its ongoing corrective review, the Division determined that it has in the past permitted injection wells into aquifers (or portions of aquifers) that qualify as USDW under the Safe Drinking Water Act and yet have not been exempted pursuant to the aquifer exemption process. In addition, US EPA has determined that eleven aquifers historically treated as exempt by US EPA and the Division may not actually be exempt, and that the State and US EPA must reevaluate these aquifers to determine whether they are appropriate for ongoing injection.

1. Injection into Non–Exempt Aquifers

The Division’s allowance of injection wells in non–exempt USDW conflicts with the terms of the Division’s Primacy Agreement with US EPA, which defines the parameters of the State’s federally–approved UIC program. The Primacy Agreement mandates that the Division will not authorize injection into aquifers that contain less than 10,000 mg/L TDS unless the aquifer meets the criteria for an aquifer exemption and an exemption has been designated by the Division and approved by US EPA. (See Primacy Agreement at pp. 6–7.) The Division has identified over 2,500 wells in California (including both enhanced oil recovery injection wells and disposal injection wells) that may have been improperly approved for injection into non–exempt aquifers containing water with less than 10,000 mg/L TDS.

These aquifers are subject to protection as USDW unless and until they are covered by an aquifer exemption.

2. Uncertain Exemption Status of Eleven Aquifers Historically Treated as Exempt

In 1981, the Division proposed aquifer exemptions for various hydrocarbon–producing aquifers and certain non–hydrocarbon–producing aquifers that were being used for the injection of oil or gas field waste at the time of the primacy application. (See Primacy Application at Appendix B.) The Primacy Agreement between the State and US EPA identifies those aquifers for which US EPA confirmed exemptions. Unfortunately, however, there exist two competing versions of the Primacy Agreement, each with the same signature page and dates, which differ with respect to the non–hydrocarbon–producing aquifers US EPA agreed to exempt. One version purports to deny exemptions for eleven non–hydrocarbon–producing aquifers, while the second version purports to approve exemptions for those same aquifers. Many or all of the eleven affected aquifers appear to contain non–hydrocarbon–producing waters with less than 3,000 mg/L TDS, raising additional questions about whether these aquifers are or were ever eligible for an exemption. Nevertheless, the Division and US EPA have historically (up until about 2012) treated these eleven aquifers as exempt (hereinafter the “Eleven Aquifers Historically Treated as Exempt”), and the Division has approved injection wells into these aquifers.

Corrective Actions to Bring the State UIC Program into Compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act

On March 9, 2015, extensive discussions between US EPA, the Division, and the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) culminated in a detailed corrective action plan, explicitly deemed necessary by US EPA, to bring the State’s Class II UIC program into compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. The corrective action plan calls for the Division to phase out all injection into non–exempt aquifers by October 15, 2015 (for wells injecting into non–hydrocarbon–producing zones under 3,000 mg/L TDS) and February 15, 2017 (for all remaining Class II injection wells). The plan also requires that the Division prohibit by December 31, 2016 injection into the Eleven Aquifers Historically Treated as Exempt absent a US EPA decision that the aquifer(s) meet the regulatory criteria for an aquifer exemption. US EPA’s command that the Division take these corrective actions is significant in part because US EPA may withdraw a state’s primacy authorization under the Safe Drinking Water Act if the state fails to comply with the terms of its Primacy Agreement and fails to take corrective action satisfactory to US EPA. (See 40 C.F.R. § 145.33.)

Objectives and Benefits of the Proposed Regulations

The proposed regulations would phase out injection into non–exempt USDWs according to the compliance deadlines directed by US EPA. The regulations would also clarify that codification of these compliance deadlines does not create an entitlement to injection approval, they would define relevant terms, and they would identify the civil penalty for unlawful injection that occurs beyond the compliance deadlines. The objectives,
benefits and policy rationale of the proposed regulations include the following:

- **Implement Corrective Actions Deemed Necessary by US EPA.** The proposed regulations would carry out the corrective actions deemed necessary by US EPA; phasing out injection into non-exempt aquifers and the Eleven Aquifers Historically Treated as Exempt (absent US EPA affirmation of an aquifer exemption) by specified compliance dates that US EPA sanctioned after extensive interagency discussions. US EPA has made clear that the Division’s failure to phase out injection in the specified aquifers by the stipulated compliance deadlines (absent the approval of aquifer exemptions) would seriously jeopardize the State’s ability to maintain primacy over Class II injection in California. Losing primacy would be an unfavorable result in part because it would diminish the State’s regulatory role and authority over important resource management decisions affecting groundwater resources and oil and gas production.

- **Implement the Division’s Mandate under Public Resources Code Section 3106.** Public Resources Code section 3106 requires the Division to encourage the wise development of oil and gas resources, while preventing damage to life, health, property, and natural resources, including underground and surface waters suitable for domestic or irrigation purposes. The proposed regulations would implement the Division’s section 3106 mandate by phasing out injection into non-exempt aquifers according to a schedule that prioritizes action on the aquifers that are most likely to contain water suitable for domestic or irrigation purposes.

- **Codify a Key Component of the Primacy Agreement.** The proposed regulations would codify the requirement in the Primacy Agreement that an aquifer exemption must be in effect prior to or concurrent with the Division’s approval of injection wells into any aquifer that meets US EPA’s definition of an USDW. This would give unambiguous regulatory effect to an important aspect of the State’s Class II UIC program that is currently only explicit in the Primacy Agreement.

- **Resolve Uncertainty Over Status of the Eleven Aquifers Historically Treated as Exempt.** The proposed regulations would identify the State’s and US EPA’s resolution of the historical and geologic/factual uncertainty regarding the Eleven Aquifers Historically Treated as Exempt. The proposed regulations would effectively cease injection into these aquifers by the end of 2016 unless US EPA affirms the existence of the aquifer exemption(s).

- **Clarify the Civil Penalty for Unlawful Injection.** The proposed regulations would establish a minimum civil penalty of $20,000 for each well for each day injection occurs in non-exempt USDW aquifers beyond the compliance deadlines. The provision would also preserve the Division’s discretion to impose a greater civil penalty, not to exceed $25,000 per day for each well, based on the statutory factors set forth in Public Resources Code section 3236.5 (the extent of harm, persistence, pervasiveness, and prior occurrences of the violation).

- **Provide Transparency and Consistency.** The proposed regulations will ensure that the regulated industry and the general public are aware of the State’s plan for addressing this issue and that compliance is achieved in a consistent and predictable manner.

**CONSISTENCY WITH FEDERAL REGULATION OR STATUTE**

The proposed regulations are the product of extensive consultation between US EPA, the Department, and the SWRCB. One of the primary objectives of the proposed regulations is to bring the State’s Class II UIC program into compliance with the federal Safe Drinking Water Act by implementing specific corrective actions identified and deemed necessary by US EPA. The proposed regulations do not differ substantially from existing federal law; rather, they are intended to harmonize state and federal requirements.

**CONSISTENCY WITH EXISTING STATE REGULATIONS**

The proposed regulations are not inconsistent or incompatible with existing state regulations. US EPA granted primacy to the Division and the Division is the only state agency with regulations specific to Class II injection operations in the state. The proposed regulations establish deadlines for compliance with federal requirements, but the proposed regulations are not an entitlement to inject up to those deadlines. Because the Division retains discretion in permitting and regulating underground injection projects in the subject aquifers, the proposed regulations would not be inconsistent or incompatible with any existing state regulations that might otherwise limit or halt Class II injection.
PLAIN ENGLISH REQUIREMENT

The Department staff prepared the proposed regulations pursuant to the standard of clarity provided in Government Code section 11349 and the plain English requirements of Government Code sections 11342.580 and 11346.2, subdivision (a)(1). The proposed regulations are written so as to be easily understood by the persons that will use them.

LOCAL MANDATE

This proposed action does not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES

Costs or Savings to State Agencies: The Department does not anticipate any added costs to the Department or other State agencies as a result of the proposed action. The Department estimates that the proposed action may result in minor, unquantifiable savings to the Department and potentially the SWRCB and/or Regional Water Quality Control Boards because the rulemaking is anticipated to be a more efficient mechanism for obtaining compliance than individual enforcement orders issued to each affected operator.

Non–Discretionary Costs or Savings to Local Agencies, Including Costs to any Local Agency or School District Requiring Reimbursement Pursuant to Section 17500 et seq.: None. The proposed action will not result in any costs or savings to local agencies.

Costs or Savings in Federal Funding to the State: The proposed action does not directly affect federal funding to the State. However, the State’s failure to bring its UIC Program into compliance with the federal Safe Drinking Water Act as directed by US EPA could result in a loss of federal funding to the State. The proposed action would eliminate that risk for loss of federal funding by ensuring that the State UIC Program meets US EPA’s call for corrective action to achieve compliance with the federal Safe Drinking Water Act.

DECLARATION REGARDING DETERMINATION OF ANTICIPATED BUSINESS IMPACT

The Department has made an initial determination that the proposed action will not have a significant, statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. This determination is based upon and supported by the facts, evidence, and analysis presented in the Initial Statement of Reasons and the Economic Impact Assessment/Analysis that are part of the rulemaking record for the proposed regulations. For the same reasons, the Department has determined that the proposed regulations will not affect small businesses.

COST IMPACTS ON A REPRESENTATIVE PRIVATE PERSON OR BUSINESS

The Department anticipates that a typical operator of one or more injection wells affected by the proposed regulations would not experience any significant costs directly attributable to the proposed regulations.

The proposed regulations would establish an enforceable schedule by which all operators statewide must, in compliance with existing requirements, cease injection into non–exempt USDW aquifers. However, in the absence of the proposed regulations, the Department would still secure compliance with the existing requirements according to the same timeframes and criteria outlined in the proposed regulations, but would likely proceed by issuing individual orders to operators rather than by an enforceable regulatory schedule. The difference between these two scenarios likely would not result in any significantly changed costs of compliance for a typical operator.

Accordingly, the Department is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

RESULTS OF THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT/ANALYSIS

Based upon its analysis, the Department has determined:

- The proposed regulations will not affect the creation or elimination of jobs within California.
- The proposed regulations will not affect the creation of new businesses or the elimination of existing businesses within California.
- The proposed regulations will not affect the expansion of businesses currently doing business within California.
- The proposed regulations may, to a small but unknown degree, affect the timing of potential negative impacts on California business and jobs. However, the potential impacts themselves arise from existing requirements and not the proposed regulations.
The proposed regulations will benefit the welfare of California residents by providing improved transparency to the Department’s regulatory supervision over a subject of profound public concern. The proposed regulations would also likely provide a benefit to the environment by streamlining the enforcement process, thereby hastening preservation of the quality of non–exempt USDW aquifers.

HOUSING COSTS

The proposed action will not have a significant effect on housing costs.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

In accordance with Government Code section 11346.5, subdivision (a)(13), the Department must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered, or that was otherwise identified and brought to the Department’s attention, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

The Department invites interested persons to submit comments regarding alternatives to the proposed regulations during the written comment period, or to present any such comments regarding alternatives, either orally or in writing, at the hearing scheduled to receive comments relevant to the proposed action.

CONTACT PERSONS/AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS

Inquiries concerning the proposed action may be directed to:

Tim Shular  
Department of Conservation  
801 K Street, MS 24–02  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 322–3080  
Email: UIC.Regulations@conservation.ca.gov

The backup contact person for these inquiries is:

Jan Perez  
Department of Conservation  
801 K Street, MS 24–02  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 322–3080  
Email: UIC.Regulations@conservation.ca.gov

Please direct requests for copies of the text (i.e., the “express terms”) of the proposed regulations, the initial statement of reasons, the modified text of the proposed regulations (if any), or other information upon which this rulemaking is based to Tim Shular at the above address.

AVAILABILITY OF CHANGED OR MODIFIED TEXT

After the written comment period and any hearing that may be conducted by the Department to receive comments regarding the proposed regulations, the Department will consider all timely and relevant comments received. Thereafter, the Department may adopt the proposed regulations in substantially the same form as described in this notice.

If the Department makes any modifications to the text of the proposed regulations that are substantial but still sufficiently related to the original proposed text as described in this notice, the Department will make the modified text (with changes clearly indicated) available to the public for at least 15 days before adopting the proposed regulations as modified. The Department will accept written comments regarding modified regulations for 15 days after the date upon which they are made available to the public. Please send requests for copies of any modified regulations to the attention of Tim Shular at the address indicated above.

AVAILABILITY OF THE FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

Upon its completion, copies of the Final Statement of Reasons may be obtained by contacting Tim Shular at the above address.

AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS ON THE INTERNET

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulations in underline and strikeout can be accessed through our website at: http://www.conservation.ca.gov.

If you have any questions regarding the process of the proposed action, please contact Tim Shular, Office of Governmental and Environmental Relations at (916)
TITLE 14. FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by Sections 200, 202, 203, 215, 220, 331, 332, 713, 1050, 1055.1, 1572, 3003.1, 4150, 4155, 4331, 4336, and 10502 of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific Sections 200, 202, 203, 203.1, 207, 215, 219, 220, 331, 332, 713, 1050, 1055, 1055.1, 1570, 1571, 1572, 1573, 3950, 3951, 3960, 4150, 4155, 4302, 4303, 4331, 4332, 4333, 4336, 4340, 4341, 4652, 4653, 4654, 4655, 4657, 4750, 4751, 4752, 4753, 4754, 4755, 4902, 10500 and 10502 of said Code, proposes to amend Sections 478, 479, and 702, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to implementation of the Bobcat Protection Act of 2013.

PROPOSED REGULATORY CHANGES

Option 1: Partial closure of the state to bobcat trapping and establishing property–specific closure boundaries around protected areas.
- Amend Section 478, Bobcat, by adding descriptions of a statewide “Bobcat Trapping Closure Area” and 18 “Property–Specific Closure Areas” surrounding 23 protected areas and incorporate editorial changes and re-numbering of the text for clarity.
- Amend Section 702, Fees, by adding a new subsection (d)(1) to require (in addition to the trapping license fee set forth in the Fish and Game Code) the payment of a Bobcat Trapping Validation Fee set at $[0–1,325] and subject to annual adjustment.
- Amend Section 479, Bobcat Pelts, by moving the current bobcat pelt shipping tag fee from subsection (c)(5); and Amend Section 702, Fees, adding a new subsection (d)(2), Shipping Tags, and increasing the fee from $3 to $[0–245] and subject to annual adjustments. Additionally, there are editorial changes and re-numbering of the text for clarity.
- Amend Section 479 by deleting the ‘no cost’ provision and ‘department mark’ on pelts not for sale in subsection (a)(1); requiring each pelt to have a Department issued shipping tag; and, by eliminating the listed Method of Take in subsection (c)(4).

Option 2: Total prohibition on bobcat trapping in California.
- Amend Section 478 by prohibiting bobcat trapping throughout California.
- Amend Section 479 by eliminating pelt tags, fees, and department marks for bobcats taken by trapping.

BENEFITS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

The benefits of the proposed regulations to the environment, whether of a partial trapping ban as described in Option 1, or a full ban as described in Option 2, will be through the improved protection of bobcat populations and the enhancement of non–consumptive use benefits. Non–consumptive uses anticipated to potentially increase include: the observation of bobcats in the wild and the perceived value of the bobcat population’s contribution to ecosystem functioning.
EVALUATION OF INCOMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING REGULATIONS

Section 20, Article IV, of the State Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Fish and Game Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated to the Commission the power to regulate the commercial trapping of bobcat. No other State agency has the authority to promulgate such regulations. The Commission has searched the CCR for any regulations regarding bobcat trapping and has found no such regulation; therefore the Commission has concluded that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing State regulations.

NOTICE IS GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held at the Mountainside Conference Center, at 1 Minaret Road, in Mammoth Lakes, California, on June 11, 2015, at 8:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held at the River Lodge Conference Center, at 1800 Riverwalk Drive, in Fortuna, California, on August 5, 2015, at 8:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before July 23, 2015, at the address given below, or by e-mail to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. Written comments mailed, or e-mailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on July 31, 2015. All comments must be received no later than August 5, 2015, at the hearing in Fortuna, California. If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address.

The regulations as proposed in strikeout–underline format, as well as an initial statement of reasons, including environmental considerations and all information upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Sonke Mastrup, Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244–2090, phone (916) 653–4899. Please direct requests for the above–mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Sonke Mastrup or Caren Woodson at the preceding address or phone number. Dr. Eric Loft, Department of Fish and Wildlife, phone 916–445–3555, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations. Copies of the Initial Statement of Reasons, including the regulatory language, may be obtained from the address above. Notice of the proposed action shall be posted on the Fish and Game Commission website at http://www.fgc.ca.gov.

AVAILABILITY OF MODIFIED TEXT

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

IMPACT OF REGULATORY ACTION/RESULTS OF THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Business, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The Commission does not anticipate significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, although the proposed fee increases may reduce the ability of California bobcat trapping businesses to compete with businesses in other states.

The principle businesses that are expected to be impacted by the proposed regulatory changes are approximately 200 licensed trappers which Department records indicate have historically taken bobcat and paid the current shipping tag fee. Their income is not derived solely from the take of bobcat pelts during the relatively short bobcat trapping season, but also from other animals lawfully taken for profit. Whether the increase in fees or the reduction in opportunity from limitations on trapping areas, as described in Option 1, or a complete ban as described in Option 2, the economic loss to the state as a whole is expected to be very small and would not significantly affect California businesses or their ability to compete with businesses in other states.
(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs
Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses
or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the
Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of
the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of
California Residents, Worker Safety, and the
State’s Environment:

The Commission does not anticipate any
significant impacts on the creation or elimination
of jobs within the State because a partial or full ban
would affect only a small number of licensed
commercial trappers whose income is not derived
solely from bobcat pelts but also from other
animals lawfully taken for profit.

The Commission anticipates potential benefits to
the health and welfare of California residents
through the enhancement of non–consumptive use
benefits. Non–consumptive uses that could
increase include: the observation of bobcats in the
wild and the perceived value of the bobcat
population’s contribution to ecosystem
functioning.

The Commission does not anticipate benefits to
worker safety because this regulatory action will
not impact health, welfare or worker safety.

The Commission anticipates possible benefits to
bobcat populations because the regulations
required by statute will place further limitations on
the take of bobcats.

c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person
or Business:

If Option 1 is adopted, the Commission anticipates
increased costs to the business of commercial
trappers because of the additional fees for the
Bobcat Trapping Validation and increased fees for
shipping tags on pelts. The Commission expects
these fees to be entirely absorbable by passing on
this cost to the consumers of bobcat pelts. Private
persons, not involved in commerce in bobcat
products will not be impacted by any cost.

A statewide ban (Option 2) would impact a small
number of licensed trappers who will no longer
derive any income from the sale of bobcat pelts.
However, licensed trappers could continue to
derive income from the legal take of other animals.

d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or
Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.

e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local
Agencies: None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School
Districts: None.

g) Costs Imposed on any Local Agency or School
District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under
Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of
Division 4, Government Code: None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.

EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESS

It has been determined that the adoption of these reg-
ulations may affect small business. The Commission
has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to
Government Code Sections 11342.580 and
11346.2(a)(1).

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

The Commission must determine that no reasonable
alternative considered by the Commission, or that has
otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of
the Commission, would be more effective in carrying
out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would
be as effective and less burdensome to affected private
persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost
effective to affected private persons and equally effec-
tive in implementing the statutory policy or other provi-
son of law.

TITLE 16. CALIFORNIA
ARCHITECTS BOARD

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGES
IN THE REGULATIONS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the California
Architects Board (Board) is proposing to take the action
described in the Informative Digest. Any person inter-
ested may present statements or arguments orally or in
writing relevant to the action proposed at a hearing to be
held at:

Department of Consumer Affairs
2420 Del Paso Road, Sequoia Room
Sacramento, California 95834
July 13, 2015
2:00 p.m.

Written comments, including those sent by mail, fac-
simile, or e–mail to the addresses listed under Contact
Person in this Notice, must be received by the Board at
its office not later than 5:00 p.m. on July 13, 2015 or
must be received by the Board at the hearing. The
Board, upon its own motion or at the instance of any in-
terested party, may thereafter adopt the proposals sub-
stantially as described below or may modify such pro-
posals if such modifications are sufficiently related to the original text. With the exception of technical or grammatical changes, the full text of any modified proposal will be available for 15 days prior to its adoption from the person designated in this Notice as contact person and will be mailed to those persons who submit written or oral testimony related to this proposal or who have requested notification of any changes to the proposal.

Authority and Reference: Pursuant to the authority vested by Business and Professions Code (BPC) sections 5526 and 5552.5, and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 5550 and 5552.5 of said Code, the Board is considering changes to California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 16, Division 2 as follows:

INFORMATIVE DIGEST

Section 5526 of the BPC authorizes the Board to adopt, amend, modify, or repeal rules and regulations as are reasonably necessary to carry into effect the provisions of the Architects Practice Act. Section 5550 authorizes the Board to establish qualifications required to become eligible for examination.

Amend Title 16 CCR Section 109 — Filing of Applications

The existing regulation references an obsolete edition of the Canadian Architectural Licensing Authorities (CALA) Internship in Architecture Program (IAP).

The proposed regulatory amendment will update the edition referenced in CCR 109 to the January 2012 edition.

Policy Statement Overview/Anticipated Benefits of Proposal

This action will prevent any confusion as to which edition of the IAP Manual candidates must adhere. The revised IAP Manual includes a reduction in the required hours for completion of the program and clarification on the types of acceptable experience for which credit will be granted.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing State Regulations:

During the process of developing these regulations and amendments, the Board has conducted a search of similar regulations on this topic and has concluded that these regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATES

Fiscal Impact on Public Agencies Including Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.

Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

Local Mandate: None.

Cost to Any Local Agency or School District for Which Government Code Sections 17500–17630 Require Reimbursement: None.

Business Impact:

The Board has made an initial determination that the proposed regulatory action would have no significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states.

The following studies/relevant data were relied upon in making the above determination: N/A.

Effect on Housing Costs: None.

EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESS

The Board has determined that the proposed regulations would not affect small businesses as it only affects applicants pursuing an architect license.

RESULTS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT/ANALYSIS

Impact on Jobs/Businesses:

The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will not have any impact on the creation of jobs or new businesses or the elimination of jobs or existing businesses or the expansion of businesses in the State of California.

Benefits of Regulation:

The Board has determined that this regulatory proposal will have the following benefits to the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and state’s environment:

This regulatory proposal will update the requirements of applicants pursuing an architect license to the national standard thereby benefitting the health, safety, and welfare of California residents.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

The Board must determine that no reasonable alternative it considered to the regulation or that has otherwise
been identified and brought to its attention would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposal described in this Notice, or would be more cost–effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

Any interested person may present statements or arguments orally or in writing relevant to the above determinations at the above–mentioned hearing.

**INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS AND INFORMATION**

The Board has prepared an initial statement of the reasons for the proposed action and has available all the information upon which the proposal is based.

**TEXT OF PROPOSAL**

Copies of the exact language of the proposed regulations, and any document incorporated by reference, and of the initial statement of reasons, and all of the information upon which the proposal is based, may be obtained at the hearing or prior to the hearing upon request from the California Architects Board at 2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 105, Sacramento, California 95834 or by telephoning the contact person listed below.

**AVAILABILITY AND LOCATION OF THE FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS AND RULEMAKING FILE**

All the information upon which the proposed regulations are based is contained in the rulemaking file which is available for public inspection by contacting the person named below.

You may obtain a copy of the final statement of reasons once it has been prepared, by making a written request to the contact person named below (or by accessing the website listed below).

**CONTACT PERSON**

Inquiries or comments concerning the proposed rulemaking action may be addressed to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Timothy Rodda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone No.:</td>
<td>(916) 575–7217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax No.:</td>
<td>(916) 575–7283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–Mail</td>
<td><a href="mailto:timothy.rodda@dca.ca.gov">timothy.rodda@dca.ca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The backup contact person is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Marcus Reinhardt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone No.:</td>
<td>(916) 575–7212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax No.:</td>
<td>(916) 575–7283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–Mail</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marccus.reinhardt@dca.ca.gov">marccus.reinhardt@dca.ca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WEBSITE ACCESS:** Materials regarding this proposal can be found at www.cab.ca.gov.

**GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST**

**DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE**

**PROPOSED RESEARCH ON FULLY PROTECTED SPECIES**

Lost River Sucker and Shortnose Sucker in Siskiyou and Modoc Counties

The Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) received a project proposal from Josh Rasmussen of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) in cooperation with U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) requesting authorization to conduct research capturing Lost River Sucker (*Deltistes luxatus*) and Shortnose Sucker (*Chasmistes brevirostris*), Fully Protected Fishes, to conduct surveys and research, and ultimately improve survival of these fish, consistent with the protection and recovery of the species.

The applicant and the associated parties have valid United States Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) Section 10 recovery permits (TE–007907–15, TE–108507–2, Sub–permit FWSKFFWO–8) and/or a Biological Opinion (2013 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Biological Opinion for the Klamath Project) for research on Lost River Sucker and Shortnose Sucker, Fully Protected Species. The proposed research is being conducted by the Service, USBR, and USGS in support of the ongoing study for the recovery of the endangered suckers in the Klamath Basin.

The Service proposes the use of trammel nets, fyke nets, seines, electrofishing, long–handled dip nets, and
minnow traps for developing an age–0 sucker rearing program and the use of fyke nets for monitoring. USGS proposes the use of trammel nets for adult monitoring and fyke nets for juvenile monitoring. USBR proposes the use of trammel nets, seines, long–handled dip nets, and electrofishers to evaluate survival and population contribution of suckers and the use of trammel nets (adults), fyke nets (juveniles), and plankton drift nets (larvae) to quantify entrainment.

Adult and juvenile suckers will be identified, measured, enumerated and scanned for Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) tags. If no PIT tag is present, one will be implanted so that recruitment into the adult spawning population can be monitored in future years. External radio tags may also be used. Tissue samples may be collected for genetic analysis and juvenile specimens may be collected for laboratory identification, water quality, and pathology activities. Suckers may be reared for studying the effects of water quality, and studies on reproduction and pathology.

Only experienced personnel will conduct sampling. Detailed prescriptions for sampling and handling suckers will be included in the applicant’s Fully Protected Species MOU, if issued. Additional locations and/or methods may be authorized by the Department for future projects.

Pursuant to California Fish and Game Code (FGC) Section 5515(a)(1), the Department may authorize take of Fully Protected Fish after 30–days’ notice has been provided to affected and interested parties through publication of this notice. If the Department determines that the proposed research is consistent with the requirements of FGC Section 5515 for take of Fully Protected Fish, it would issue the authorization in the form of an MOU on or after June 29, 2015 for an initial term through December 31, 2015. This MOU may be renewed as long as the Federal Section 10 permit(s) is renewed and the State research MOU permit is current.

Contact: Fisheries Branch, 830 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811, Attn: Leslie Alber.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES

THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES (DHCS) PROPOSES TO SUBMIT A STATE PLAN AMENDMENT (SPA) TO ADD HEALTH HOMES PROGRAM SERVICES AS A MEDI–CAL MANAGED CARE BENEFIT FOR ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS WITH CHRONIC AND COMPLEX CONDITIONS

The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) will submit SPA 15–017 to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to seek the necessary approval to include Health Homes Program (HHP) services as covered Medi–Cal Managed Care services, pursuant to Sections 14127–14128 of the Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code.

ADDITION OF HHP SERVICES

The State of California intends to provide HHP services as a covered Medi–Cal Managed Care benefit for individuals with certain chronic conditions to the extent required by the federal government, effective no sooner than approved by the federal government. HHP will serve eligible beneficiaries with multiple chronic conditions who are frequent utilizers and may benefit from enhanced care management and coordination. HHP will coordinate the full range of physical health, behavioral health, and community–based long term services and supports. DHCS will seek approval to provide HHP services as defined by Sections 14127–14128 of the W&I Code.

Pursuant to Sections 14127–14128 of the W&I Code, DHCS is required to perform the following when developing the benefit:

(1) Obtain all necessary federal approvals to secure federal funds for the provision of HHP services in Medi–Cal Managed Care.
(2) Make HHP services available to all categorically–needy beneficiaries who meet the eligibility criteria.
(3) Seek to maximize federal financial participation.
(4) Implement HHP only if the program is cost neutral regarding State General Funds.
(5) Evaluate the HHP within two years after implementation.
(6) Consult with stakeholders.

In consultation with stakeholders, DHCS is required to develop and define eligibility criteria, provider participation criteria, develop a payment methodology, identify the specific chronic conditions and health care services, and phase in HHP implementation on a county–by–county basis based on readiness, subject to the limitations allowed under federal law. DHCS may enter into exclusive or nonexclusive contracts on a bid or negotiated basis, and amend existing managed care contracts to provide or arrange for HHP services. Contracts may be statewide or on a more limited geographic basis.

DHCS is also required to work with stakeholders to address public comments. The addition of HHP services may only be implemented to the extent that federal financial participation is available and any necessary federal approvals are obtained.
PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT

The California statutes discussed above for HHP services are available online at www.leginfo.ca.gov. Interested parties may submit written comments to hhp@dhs.ca.gov.

All written comments must be received by July 13, 2015.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING AND BUSINESS MEETING OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.4 and the provisions of Labor Code Sections 142.1, 142.2, 142.3, 142.4, and 144.6, the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board of the State of California has set the time and place for a Public Meeting and Business Meeting:

PUBLIC MEETING: On July 16, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. in the Council Chambers, Room S249 of the Pasadena City Hall 100 North Garfield Avenue, Pasadena, California.

At the Public Meeting, the Board will make time available to receive comments or proposals from interested persons on any item concerning occupational safety and health.

BUSINESS MEETING: On July 16, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. in the Council Chambers, Room S249 of the Pasadena City Hall 100 North Garfield Avenue, Pasadena, California.

At the Business Meeting, the Board will conduct its monthly business.

DISABILITY ACCOMMODATION NOTICE:
Disability accommodation is available upon request. Any person with a disability requiring an accommodation, auxiliary aid or service, or a modification of policies or procedures to ensure effective communication and access to the public hearings/meetings of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board should contact the Disability Accommodation Coordinator at (916) 274–5721 or the state–wide Disability Accommodation Coordinator at 1–866–326–1616 (toll free). The state–wide Coordinator can also be reached through the California Relay Service, by dialing 711 or 1–800–735–2929 (TTY) or 1–800–855–3000 (TTY–Spanish).

Accommodations can include modifications of policies or procedures or provision of auxiliary aids or services. Accommodations include, but are not limited to, an Assistive Listening System (ALS), a Computer–Aided Transcription System or Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), a sign–language interpreter, documents in Braille, large print or on computer disk, and audio cassette recording. Accommodation requests should be made as soon as possible. Requests for an ALS or CART should be made no later than five (5) days before the hearing.

RULEMAKING PETITION DECISION

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

NOTICE OF DECISION ON PETITION TO AMEND REGULATIONS

California Code of Regulations
Title 15, Crime Prevention and Corrections
Division 3, Adult Institutions, Programs and Parole

PETITIONER
Michael A. Cooke, #T–42786

AUTHORITY

Under authority established in Penal Code (PC) Section 5058, the Secretary may prescribe and amend regulations for the administration of prisons. PC Section 5054 vests with the Secretary the supervision, management and control of the prisons, and the responsibility for the care, custody, treatment, training, discipline, and employment of persons confined therein.

CONTACT PERSON

Please direct any inquiries regarding this action to: Jonathan Stubbs, Classification Services Unit, at (916) 445–0864 or to Timothy M. Lockwood, Chief, Regulation and Policy Management Branch, Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283–0001.
AVAILABILITY OF PETITION

The petition to amend regulations is available upon request directed to the Department's contact person.

SUMMARY OF PETITION

Petitioner requests that California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) amend the California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 15, Division 3, subsection 3377.2(b)(2), Escape History. The request for proposed amendment would include provisions that change the way a previous escape conviction that is over 25 years is handled, as it would no longer be considered a valid Administrative Determinant. Petitioner shares information about their own personal history regarding Mandatory Minimum Score Factors and being ineligible for further reductions related to custody status. Therefore, petitioner contends that the Department should consider this proposed regulation change. Petitioner believes this would reduce the inmate population, allow prisoners to fill critical inmate labor positions by participating in fire camps, allow many inmates to become eligible to participate in rehabilitative programs, and help inmates better prepare for employment upon release.

DEPARTMENT DECISION

The Secretary of the CDCR declines the petition in its entirety.

Inmates who meet the criteria for an Escape (ESC) Administrative Determinate per CCR 3375.2(b)(9), will have a mandatory minimum placement score of 19 applied. If an inmate meets the criteria for this mandatory minimum placement score of 19, he/she is prohibited from being placed in a custodial setting lower than Level II.

CDCR implemented this criterion which mandates that any inmate with an escape history be evaluated by CDCR staff to determine the level of risk and threat the inmate would pose to the safety and security of the institution, staff, and the public. In addition, the evaluation is done in order to determine if the inmate is a much greater escape risk than indicated by their classification score, which would require application of the ESC Administrative Determinant and a mandatory minimum placement score of 19.

The petitioner is currently housed in a Level II facility and is ineligible for Level I housing based upon his escape history. There is a significant difference between the lower security level of camps and Level I facilities, versus the perimeter security of Level II facilities. Camps and Level I facilities consist primarily of open dormitories, while a Level II facility consists primarily of open dormitories with a secure perimeter that may also include armed coverage. Per CCR, Title 15, Section 3000, the definition of security perimeter is: "Any unbroken physical barrier or combination of physical barriers that restricts inmate movement to a contained area without being processed through a door, gate, or sally port." These barriers effectively reduce an inmate's ability to escape incarceration.

The Department has determined that an inmate who has been identified as an escape risk is a threat to the safety and security of staff, the general public, and other inmates. The Department considers the risk factor to be important enough to maintain an ESC Administrative Determinant and minimum placement score of 19, even years after an escape or an attempted escape has taken place.

The language contained in subsection 3377.2(b)(2) of the CCR, Title 15, Division 3 is in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act, as this language was officially approved and certified by the Office of Administrative Law. This regulation language has gone through the regulatory process for adoption and is in compliance with Government Code 11340 et seq. Through the Notice process, the public was given an opportunity to review and comment on the proposed regulations prior to their adoption and final certification.

SUMMARY OF REGULATORY ACTIONS

REGULATIONS FILED WITH SECRETARY OF STATE

This Summary of Regulatory Actions lists regulations filed with the Secretary of State on the dates indicated. Copies of the regulations may be obtained by contacting the agency or from the Secretary of State, Archives, 1020 O Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916) 653–7715. Please have the agency name and the date filed (see below) when making a request.

File# 2015–0410–02
BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
Calculation of Estimated Use Tax — Use Tax Table

The Board of Equalization amended section 1685.5 in Title 18 of the California Code of Regulations. This action updates the regulation’s definition of the average state, local, and district sales and use tax rate to include the rates of all the sales and use taxes imposed under article XIII of the California Constitution, which are currently the taxes imposed by sections 35 and 36 of article XIII of the California Constitution.
Title 18
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 1685.5
Filed 05/13/2015
Effective 07/01/2015
Agency Contact: Richard E. Bennion (916) 445–2130

File# 2015–0421–01
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
2015 Appliance Efficiency Rulemaking — Toilets, Urinals and Faucets
This rulemaking action by the California Energy Commission establishes standards to improve the efficiency of water appliances available for sale and installation in new and existing buildings. These standards are being adopted pursuant to directives 16, 26, and 30 of Governor’s Executive Order No. B–29–15, signed on April 1, 2015.

Title 20
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 1601, 1602, 1604, 1605.1, 1605.2, 1605.3, 1606
Filed 05/15/2015
Effective 05/15/2015
Agency Contact: Jared Babula (916) 651–1462

File# 2015–0513–03
CALIFORNIA POLLUTION CONTROL FINANCING AUTHORITY
CPCFA — Rate Reduction Bonds
This emergency rulemaking action readsopts for a period of 90 days nine sections in title 4 of the California Code of Regulations to establish the process, standards, and timelines for review by the California Pollution Control Financing Authority of Issuer Applicants’ applications to issue Rate Reduction Bonds for the financing of water utility projects by publicly owned utilities.

Title 4
California Code of Regulations
ADOPT: 8130, 8131, 8132, 8133, 8134, 8136, 8137, 8138
Filed 05/19/2015
Effective 05/19/2015
Agency Contact: Andrea Gonzalez (916) 651–7284

File# 2015–0518–01
DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE
Guava Fruit Fly Interior Quarantine
This emergency regulatory action establishes the process for adding and removing quarantine areas for the Guava fruit fly.

Title 3
California Code of Regulations
ADOPT: 3441
Filed 05/19/2015
Effective 05/19/2015
Agency Contact: Stephen S. Brown (916) 654–0317

File# 2015–0505–03
DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE
Asian Citrus Psyllid Interior Quarantine
This emergency action expands the quarantine area for the Asian Citrus Psyllid (ACP) (Diaphorina citri) in San Luis Obispo County.

Title 3
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 3435(b)
Filed 05/13/2015
Effective 05/13/2015
Agency Contact: Sara Khalid (916) 403–6625

File# 2015–0414–02
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES
Section 52000 (CCR)
The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) submitted this action pursuant to title 1, California Code of Regulations, section 100 to make a change without regulatory effect to section 52000 of title 22 of the California Code of Regulations. The change is a correction of an erroneous cross-reference in subdivision (n) of section 52000, which qualifies as a nonsubstantive change under Section 100(a)(4).

Title 22
California Code of Regulations
ADOPT: 52000
Filed 05/20/2015
Agency Contact: Kenneisha Moore (916) 440–7695

File# 2015–0511–01
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Department of Motor Vehicles Bond Form
This File and Print action amends section 51.14 of the Title 11 listing of approved surety bonds and is the Department of Justice’s approval and printing of the revised Remanufacturer Surety Bond for the Department of Motor Vehicles.
Title 11
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 51.14
Filed 05/13/2015
Effective 05/13/2015
Agency Contact: Karen W. Yiu (415) 703–5385

File# 2015–0511–02
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Department of Motor Vehicles Bond Form
This File and Print action amends section 51.17 of the Title 11 listing of approved surety bonds and is the Department of Justice’s approval and printing of the revised Registration Service Surety Bond for the Department of Motor Vehicles.

Title 11
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 51.22
Filed 05/13/2015
Effective 05/13/2015
Agency Contact: Karen W. Yiu (415) 703–5385

File# 2015–0511–03
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Department of Motor Vehicles Bond Form
This File and Print action amends section 51.22 of the Title 11 listing of approved surety bonds and is the Department of Justice’s approval and printing of the revised Surety Bond of Motorcycle Dealer, Motorcycle Lessor–Retailer, All–Terrain Vehicle Dealer, or Wholesale–Only Dealer (Less Than 25 Vehicles Per Year) for the Department of Motor Vehicles.

Title 11
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 51.22
Filed 05/13/2015
Effective 05/13/2015
Agency Contact: Karen W. Yiu (415) 703–5385

File# 2015–0511–04
EDUCATION AUDIT APPEALS PANEL
Audits of K–12 LEAs — FY 2015–16
The Education Audit Appeals Panel (EAAP) submitted this emergency rulemaking action to amend title 5 of the California Code of Regulations, section 19810, specifically to update the audit guide that is used for auditing K–12 Local Education Agencies (LEAs), pursuant to Education Code section 14502.1. The updated guide will be applicable to FY 2015–2016.

Title 5
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 19810
Filed 05/18/2015
Effective 05/18/2015
Agency Contact:
Timothy E. Morgan (916) 445–7745

File# 2015–0420–02
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Public Generally
This action by the Fair Political Practices Commission (Commission) repeals twelve sections in title 2 of the California Code of Regulations relating to “Economic Interests” and amends section 18703 relating to the “Public Generally.”

Title 2
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 18703 REPEAL: 18703.2, 18703.4, 18703.5, 18707, 18707.1, 18707.2, 18707.4, 18707.5, 18707.6, 18707.7, 18707.9, 18707.10
Filed 05/18/2015
Effective 06/17/2015
Agency Contact:
Virginia Latteri–Lopez (916) 322–5660

File# 2015–0506–02
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
Drought Emergency Water Conservation
The State Water Resources Control Board submitted this action to readopt and further amend three sections, adopted in OAL file no. 2014–0718–01E and readopted in OAL file no. 2015–0320–01EE, and to adopt a new section in title 23 of the California Code of Regulations pertaining to drought emergency water conservation. The updated regulations are intended to safeguard urban water supplies in the event of continued drought, minimize the potential for waste and unreasonable use of water, and achieve the 25 percent statewide potable water usage reduction ordered by Governor Brown in his April 1, 2015 executive order.

Title 23
California Code of Regulations
ADOPT: 863, 864, 865, 866
Filed 05/18/2015
Effective 05/18/2015
Agency Contact: David Rose (916) 341–5196

File# 2015–0415–04
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
Groundwater Regulatory Framework for the Royal Mountain King Mine Site
This rulemaking action by the State Water Resources Control Board, pursuant to Government Code section

885
11353, adopts the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board’s amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins regarding the establishment of a regulatory framework for the Royal Mountain King Mine Site in Calaveras County.

Title 23
California Code of Regulations
ADOPT: 3949.10
Filed 05/19/2015
Effective 05/19/2015
Agency Contact: Gene Davis (916) 464-4687

File# 2015–0403–01
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
Water Quality Control Policy for Developing CWA Section 303(d) List

At a hearing on February 3, 2015, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted Resolution No. 2015–0005, amending the Water Quality Control Policy for Developing California’s Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List. This amendment, which is summarized in section 2916 of title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, updates the process by which the 303(d) List is compiled, streamlines the public participation and review processes, and includes new information regarding the use of sediment quality objectives.

Title 23
California Code of Regulations
AMEND: 2916
Filed 05/15/2015
Effective 05/15/2015
Agency Contact: Nick Martorano (213) 576–6694

File# 2015–0415–05
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
Amend Sources of Drinking Water Policy–Royal Mountain King Mine Site

This rulemaking action by the State Water Resources Control Board, pursuant to Government Code section 11353, amends section 2919 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations to add an additional site-specific exception to the Sources of Drinking Water Policy (Resolution 88–63) for the groundwater beneath portions of the Royal Mountain King Mine Site in Calaveras County.

Title 23
California Code of Regulations
2919
Filed 05/19/2015
Effective 05/19/2015
Agency Contact: Gene Davis (916) 464-4687

CCR CHANGES FILED
WITH THE SECRETARY OF STATE
WITHIN December 17, 2014 TO May 20, 2015

All regulatory actions filed by OAL during this period are listed below by California Code of Regulations titles, then by date filed with the Secretary of State, with the Manual of Policies and Procedures changes adopted by the Department of Social Services listed last. For further information on a particular file, contact the person listed in the Summary of Regulatory Actions section of the Notice Register published on the first Friday more than nine days after the date filed.

Title 2

05/18/15 AMEND: 18703 REPEAL: 18703.2, 18703.4, 18703.5, 18707, 18707.1, 18707.2, 18707.4, 18707.5, 18707.6, 18707.7, 18707.9, 18707.10

05/04/15 ADOPT: 1701, 1702 AMEND: 1700

04/27/15 AMEND: 18700, 18700.1, 18700.2, 18700.3, 18701, 18701.1, 18702, 18702.1, 18702.2, 18702.3, 18702.4, 18702.5, 18703.3, 18704, 18704.1, 18704.2, 18704.3, 18704.4, 18704.5, 18704.6, 18705, 18705.1, 18705.2, 18705.3, 18705.4, 18705.5, 18706, 18706.1, 18708, 18709

04/09/15 AMEND: 57400

04/08/15 AMEND: 212

04/07/15 ADOPT: 59780

04/02/15 AMEND: 18215

03/24/15 AMEND: 18530.4, 18530.45

03/23/15 AMEND: 1189.10

03/23/15 AMEND: 59740

03/17/15 AMEND: 549

03/04/15 AMEND: 11087, 11088, 11089, 11090, 11091, 11092, 11093, 11094, 11095, 11096, 11097 REPEAL: 11098

02/23/15 ADOPT: 59760

02/23/15 ADOPT: 553, 553.1, 553.2, 553.3, 553.4, 553.5, 553.6, 599.100, 599.101, 599.102, 599.120, 599.121, 599.122, 599.123, 599.124, 599.140, 599.141, 599.142, 599.143, 599.144, 599.145, 599.146, 599.160, 599.161, 599.162, 599.163, 599.164

02/09/15 AMEND: 1859.76

02/02/15 AMEND: 18705, 18705.3, 18705.4, 18705.5 REPEAL: 18704, 18704.1, 18704.5

02/02/15 AMEND: 18450.11

02/02/15 AMEND: 18740

01/22/15 AMEND: 54300
### Title 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/19/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>3441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/13/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/08/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/30/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/16/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>6512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/15/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>6738.1, 6738.2, 6738.3, 6738.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AMEND: 6000, 6702, 6720, 6724, 6738, 6739, 6764, 6771, 6793, 6795 REPEAL: 6486.7, 6736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/09/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/08/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/20/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/17/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>1428.6, 1428.7, 1428.8, 1428.10, 1428.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/25/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/18/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/12/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>1392.8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/27/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3591.13(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/26/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>3435(b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Title 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/19/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>8130, 8131, 8132, 8133, 8134, 8135, 8136, 8137, 8138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/07/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>10325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/07/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>10315, 10322, 10325, 10327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/04/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>8035(e)–(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/27/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>10170.2, 10170.3, 10170.4, 10170.5, 10170.6, 10170.7, 10170.8, 10170.9, 10170.10, 10170.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/21/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/09/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>10176, 10177, 10178, 10179, 10180, 10181, 10182, 10183, 10187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/07/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>87102, 87455, 87465, 87469, 87615, 87616, 87632, 87633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>10080, 10081, 10082, 10083, 10084, 10085, 10086, 10087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/30/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>8078.3, 8078.4, 8078.5, 8078.6, 8078.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/13/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>5205, 5230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/10/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>10170.16, 10170.17, 10170.18, 10170.19, 10170.20, 10170.21, 10170.22, 10170.23, 10170.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/09/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>10091.1, 10091.2, 10091.3, 10091.4, 10091.5, 10091.6, 10091.7, 10091.8, 10091.9, 10091.10, 10091.11, 10091.12, 10091.13, 10091.14, 10091.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/04/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>1866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>1688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/26/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>24465–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>12003, 12311, 12312, 12313, 12315, 12316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/30/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>10085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/13/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>5600, 5610, 5620, 5630, 5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/13/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>1858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/24/14</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>106(d)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Title 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/18/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>19810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/12/15</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
<td>19810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/18/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>58621 AMEND: 58601, 58612, 58620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/30/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>71105, 71105.5, 71410, 71471, 71775, 71775.5, 74240, 74250, 75140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/15</td>
<td>ADOPT</td>
<td>80693, 80694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Section Numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/08/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 15494, 15495, 15496, 15497, 15497.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/30/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 9980, 9981, 9982, 9983</td>
<td>AMEND: 9990, 9992, 10208.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/30/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 4345, 4351, 4352, 4354</td>
<td>REPEAL: 9994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/20/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 9792.21.1, 9792.25.1</td>
<td>AMEND: 9792.21, 9792.23, 9792.24.1, 9792.24.3, 9792.25, 9792.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 9701, 9702</td>
<td>AMEND: 9789.10, 9789.11, 9789.20, 9789.21, 9789.22, 9789.23, 9789.25, 9789.50, 9789.60, 9789.70, 9789.110, 9789.111, 9790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/06/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 17300, 17301, 17302, 17303, 17304, 17305, 17306, 17307, 17308, 17309, 17310</td>
<td>AMEND: 3395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/25/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 9789.25</td>
<td>AMEND: 333, 336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/12/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 9789.25</td>
<td>AMEND: 9789.10, 9789.11, 9789.20, 9789.21, 9789.22, 9789.23, 9789.25, 9789.50, 9789.60, 9789.70, 9789.110, 9789.111, 9790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/04/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 9789.25</td>
<td>AMEND: 9789.10, 9789.11, 9789.20, 9789.21, 9789.22, 9789.23, 9789.25, 9789.50, 9789.60, 9789.70, 9789.110, 9789.111, 9790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/08/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2507.1, 2507.2, 2508, 2509</td>
<td>AMEND: 2698.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 2698.95</td>
<td>AMEND: 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/20/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 1760.1, 1779.1</td>
<td>AMEND: 1159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/01/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 1760.1, 1779.1</td>
<td>AMEND: 1159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/30/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3550.17</td>
<td>AMEND: 27.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/23/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 1.45, 2.09, 4.05, 5.00, 5.80, 7.50, 8.00, 27.90</td>
<td>AMEND: 553.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/30/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 1751, 1761, 1777.4, 1780, 1781, 1782, 1783, 1783.1, 1783.2, 1783.3, 1784, 1784.1, 1784.2, 1785, 1785.1, 1786, 1787, 1788, 1789</td>
<td>AMEND: 1665.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/29/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 1665.1, 1665.2, 1665.3, 1665.4, 1665.5, 1665.6, 1665.7, 1665.8</td>
<td>AMEND: 1665.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/28/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 4351.1 (renumbered as 4351), 4360 REPEAL: 4351</td>
<td>AMEND: 553.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/30/14</td>
<td>ADOPT: 1751, 1761, 1777.4, 1780, 1781, 1782, 1783, 1783.1, 1783.2, 1783.3, 1784, 1784.1, 1784.2, 1785, 1785.1, 1786, 1787, 1788, 1789</td>
<td>AMEND: 1665.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12/29/14 AMEND: 670.5

Title 15
04/30/15 AMEND: 3006, 3134.1, 3135
04/27/15 ADOPT: 3999.18
04/22/15 AMEND: 3001, 3042, 3043, 3084.7, 3379, 3768.2
04/16/15 ADOPT: 3410.1 AMEND: 3173.2
03/17/15 ADOPT: 3410.2 AMEND: 3000, 3173.2, 3287, 3410.1
03/16/15 ADOPT: 1830.1, 1840.1, 1847.1, 1848.5, 1849.1, 1850.1 AMEND: 1800, 1806, 1812, 1814, 1830, 1831, 1840, 1847, 1848, 1849, 1850, 1851 1852, 1853, 1854, 1856, 1860, 1866, 1867, 1868, 1870, 1872, 1876, 1878, 1888, 1890, 1892 REPEAL: 1857
03/12/15 REPEAL: 3999.13
02/11/15 REPEAL: 3999.11
02/09/15 ADOPT: 8121
01/28/15 ADOPT: 3364.1, 3364.2 AMEND: 3351, 3364
12/22/14 ADOPT: 3620, 3621, 3622, 3623, 3624, 3625, 3626 AMEND: 3000, 3521.1, 3521.2, 3545, 3800.2 REPEAL: 3620, 3625

Title 16
04/10/15 ADOPT: 1746.3
04/09/15 AMEND: 4161
04/08/15 AMEND: 3306, 3310, 3340.10, 3351.1
04/01/15 ADOPT: 914.1, 914.2 AMEND: 918, 921, 921.1, 921.2
03/26/15 ADOPT: 977, 980.4 AMEND: 978, 979, 980, 980.1, 980.2, 980.3, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994
03/26/15 AMEND: 3373
03/25/15 ADOPT: 1361.5, 1361.51, 1361.52, 1361.53, 1361.54, 1361.55 AMEND: 1361
03/18/15 AMEND: 2649
03/06/15 REPEAL: 950.8, 950.9
01/21/15 AMEND: 1387
01/12/15 AMEND: 601.3, 601.5, 620, 621, 622, 628, 631, 631.1
01/08/15 AMEND: 1707.5
12/30/14 ADOPT: 832.22, 833
12/23/14 AMEND: 116
12/22/14 AMEND: 1948
12/17/14 AMEND: 109
12/17/14 AMEND: 1399.541

Title 17
02/27/15 AMEND: 13675, 13676
02/11/15 AMEND: 2643.5, 2643.10, 2643.15
02/05/15 AMEND: 6540
01/21/15 AMEND: 6550, 6551, 6553, 6553.1, 6555, 6557.1, 6557.2, 6557.3
12/31/14 AMEND: 95802, 95830, 95833, 95852, 95852.2, 95890, 95892, 95895, 95921, 95973, 95975, 95976, 95981, 95983, 95985, 95990
12/31/14 AMEND: 95201, 95202, 95203, 95204
12/31/14 AMEND: 95101, 95102, 95103, 95104, 95111, 95112, 95113, 95114, 95115, 95119, 95121, 95122, 95124, 95130, 95131, 95132, 95133, 95152, 95153, 95156, 95157
12/30/14 ADOPT: 30180.1, 30180.2, 30180.3, 30180.4, 30180.5, 30180.6, 30180.7, 30181, 30192.7, 30195.4, 30196, 30237, 30332.9 AMEND: 30180, 30190, 30192.1, 30194, 30195, 30195.2, 30195.3, 30235, 30253, 30254, 30257, 30330, 30332, 30332.5, 30332.6, 30332.8, 30333, 30333.1, 30334, 30336, 30336.1, 30336.5, 30346, 30346.2, 30348.1, 30350 REPEAL: 30192, 30210.2, 30237

Title 18
05/13/15 AMEND: 1685.5
05/06/15 AMEND: 1598.1
05/06/15 AMEND: 1533.2
04/30/15 AMEND: 1621
03/19/15 AMEND: 472, 902, 904
03/04/15 AMEND: 6001
02/09/15 AMEND: 1588
01/28/15 AMEND: 140.1

Title 20
05/15/15 AMEND: 1601, 1602, 1604, 1605.1, 1605.2, 1605.3, 1606
03/12/15 AMEND: 3103
03/04/15 AMEND: 1682(c)

Title 21
02/12/15 ADOPT: 1469, 1470, 1471

Title 22
05/20/15 AMEND: 52000
05/12/15 ADOPT: 51193.1, 51193.3 AMEND: 51051, 51113, 51311, 51511.6, 51531
04/30/15 AMEND: 97232
04/07/15 AMEND: 51516.1
02/09/15 AMEND: 97177.15, 97244
02/05/15 ADOPT: 100018, 100020, 100025, 100026, 100027, 100028, 100029, 100030 AMEND: 100005, 100007, 100009, 100014, 100015, 100016,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Propositions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/31/14</td>
<td>AMEND: 97174</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/17/14</td>
<td>AMEND: 51341.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 2919</td>
<td>Title 23</td>
<td>600, 600.1, 600.2, 600.3, 600.4, 601, 602, 603, 603.5, 604, 605, 606, 607.1, 607.2, 607.3, 608.1, 608.2, 608.3, 610.1, 610.2, 610.3, 610.4, 610.5, 610.6, 610.7, 610.8, 610.9, 610.10, 610.11, 612.1, 612.2, 612.3, 612.4, 612.5, 612.6, 612.61, 612.62, 612.63, 612.64, 612.65, 612.66, 612.67, 615.1, 615.2, 615.3, 618.62, 625.1, 625.2, 625.3, 625.4, 625.5, 625.6, 625.7, 635.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/18/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3949.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/15/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 2916</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/04/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 3939.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/04/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 3939.18, 3939.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/22/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 600, 600.1, 600.2, 600.3, 600.4, 601, 602, 603, 603.5, 604, 605, 606, 607.1, 607.2, 607.3, 608.1, 608.2, 608.3, 610.1, 610.2, 610.3, 610.4, 610.5, 610.6, 610.7, 610.8, 610.9, 610.10, 610.11, 612.1, 612.2, 612.3, 612.4, 612.5, 612.6, 612.61, 612.62, 612.63, 612.64, 612.65, 612.66, 612.67, 615.1, 615.2, 615.3, 618.62, 625.1, 625.2, 625.3, 625.4, 625.5, 625.6, 625.7, 635.0</td>
<td>03/30/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 877, 878, 878.1, 878.2, 879, 879.1, 879.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/27/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 879(c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/27/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 863, 864, 865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/18/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 3939.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/17/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3919.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/17/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3919.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/23/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3939.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/05/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3946(b), 3946(c), 3946(d) AMEND: 3946(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/30/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 4514</td>
<td>Title 25</td>
<td>600, 600.1, 600.2, 600.3, 600.4, 601, 602, 603, 603.5, 604, 605, 606, 607.1, 607.2, 607.3, 608.1, 608.2, 608.3, 610.1, 610.2, 610.3, 610.4, 610.5, 610.6, 610.7, 610.8, 610.9, 610.10, 610.11, 612.1, 612.2, 612.3, 612.4, 612.5, 612.6, 612.61, 612.62, 612.63, 612.64, 612.65, 612.66, 612.67, 615.1, 615.2, 615.3, 618.62, 625.1, 625.2, 625.3, 625.4, 625.5, 625.6, 625.7, 635.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/27/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 1300.65.2, 1300.89.21 AMEND: 1300.65, 1300.65.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/18/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 3939.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/17/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3919.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/17/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3919.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/23/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3939.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/05/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3946(b), 3946(c), 3946(d) AMEND: 3946(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/17/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 863, 864, 865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/05/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3946(b), 3946(c), 3946(d) AMEND: 3946(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/18/15</td>
<td>AMEND: 3939.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/17/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3919.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/17/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3919.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/23/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3939.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/05/15</td>
<td>ADOPT: 3946(b), 3946(c), 3946(d) AMEND: 3946(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>